

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/826,443	04/04/2001	Eric John Hewitt	AHA-02201 6524	
28960	7590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD			ABRAHAM, ESAW T	
	E, CA 94086		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2133	13
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

d

			Ň.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	()
Office Action Comments	09/826,443	HEWITT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Esaw T Abraham	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication  (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Aprile</u></li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice</li></ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.5.7.8 and 10-12.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2133

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 2 to 21 are presented for examination.

\*\*\*\*\* The examiner considers the preliminary amendment filled on 1/23/02.

## Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (e) (provisional patent application serial number 60/194570) filed on 04/04/00.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The examiner has been considered the references listed in the information disclosure statement (see attached PTO-1449).

## Claim objections

4. Claims 16, 18 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description and the drawings may be used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims. The reference characters, however, should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters, which may appear in the claims. The use of reference characters is to be considered as having no effect on the scope of the claims. Therefore the examiner would like to suggest to the applicant to remove the parentheses from the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,443 Page 3

Art Unit: 2133

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included and excluded by the claim language with the use of the phrase:

"a signal to ratio may be" (see claim 15 line 2). This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 2 to 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention are directed to algorithm not embedded in computer readable medium. For example, the steps of receiving an input signal and approximating a Log-likelihood-ratio result (as in claim 1); the steps of receiving an input signal, approximating a Log-likelihood-ratio result, determining a slope for the actual Log-likelihood-ratio value and quantizing the slope (as in claim 10); the steps of receiving an input signal, approximating a Log-likelihood-ratio result, calculating and separating an actual Log-likelihood-ratio value, determining a constant a partial derivative, calculating a slope using a linear equation and quantizing the constant using the quantizing equation (as in claim 15) are only directed to mathematical algorithms rather than limited to practical applications.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2133

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims **16-21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sindhushayana (PN: 6,594,318).

As per claims 16 and 21, Sindhushayana teaches a technique for computing soft-decision input metrics to a turbo decoder and a method of approximating log-likelihood ratio metrics for a plurality of turbo encoded symbols, the plurality of turbo encoded symbol having been modulated with quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) signal constellations having gray code labeling, is provided and further the method includes the steps of extracting a complex-valued modulation symbol soft decision on a modulation symbol, whereby the complex-valued modulation symbol soft decision having an in-phase component (I) and a quadrature component (Q); scaling the complex-valued modulation symbol soft decision to obtain a log-likelihood ratio metric for a most-significant code symbol of the modulation

Art Unit: 2133

symbol (see figure 8 elements 406, 410, 412, 414 and col. 3, lines 27-48). Further,

Sindhushayanate in figure 2 disclose a receiver (40) includes a demodulator (44) coupled to an automatic gain control (AGC) (46) that is coupled to a converter (ADC) (48) whereby the output of the ADC represents digital samples provided to LLR computation circuit (see col. 10, lines 22-33) **Not explicitly disclosed** converting module for converting the LLR result of (I, Q) symbols into unsigned values. **However**, Sindhushayanate teach a common scale, referred to as log-likelihood ratio (LLR) probabilities, represents each bit by an integer in some range, e.g., [-32,31] and a value of 31 (unsigned or positive) signifies a zero with very high probability and a value of -32 signifies a one with very high probability (see col. 2, lines 37-45). **Therefore**, it would have been obvious to a person having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to represent the converted LLR result as signed or unsigned values since assigning as signed or unassigned is conventional and well known. **This modification** would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to simplify the decoding configuration and maximize the decoding efficiency.

As per claim 17, Sindhushayana teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 16 including Sindhushayanate in figure 2 disclose a receiver (40) includes a demodulator (44) coupled to an automatic gain control (module) (AGC) (46) that is coupled to a converter (ADC) (48) whereby the output of the ADC coupled to an input of a first receiver multiplier (50) to LLR computation circuit (see col. 10, lines 22-33)

As per claim 18, Sindhushayana teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 16 including LLR computation for PSK constellations is performed and each modulation symbol

Art Unit: 2133

represents a particular string of code symbol values, defined by its labeling (see col. 23, lines

34-40).

As per claims 19 and 20, Sindhushayana teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim

16 including Sindhushayana teaches a technique for computing soft-decision input metrics to a

turbo decoder and a method of approximating log-likelihood ratio metrics for a plurality of turbo

encoded symbols, the plurality of turbo encoded symbol having been modulated with quadrature

amplitude modulation (QAM) signal constellations having gray code labeling, is provided and

further the method includes the steps of extracting a complex-valued modulation symbol soft

decision on a modulation symbol, whereby the complex-valued modulation symbol soft decision

having an in-phase component (I) and a quadrature component (Q); scaling the complex-valued

modulation symbol soft decision to obtain a log-likelihood ratio metric for a most-significant

code symbol of the modulation symbol (see figure 8 elements 406, 410, 412, 414 and col. 3, lines

27-48). Further. Sindhushayanate teach that an estimates of the LLR metrics obtained in

association with generalized square QAM and M-ary PSK modulation schemes including, e.g.,

64QAM, 256QAM, and 16PSK (see abstract).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

US PN: 5,657,354 Thesling et al. Page 6

Art Unit: 2133

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Esaw Abraham whose telephone number is (703) 305-7743. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are successful, the examiner's supervisor,

Albert DeCady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Esaw Abraham

Art unit: 2133

Gruy J. Lamare
for
Albert DeCady

Page 7